

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET		CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,563	09/19/2003	Joan Morris	14920/67705	5536
26869	7590 01/27/2005	EXAMINER		
DEVINE, M	ILLIMET & BRANC	JACKSON, MONIQUE R		
111 AMHERS	ST STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOX 719 MANCHESTER, NH 03105			1773	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del> </del>			<i>h</i>		
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/666,563		MORRIS ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	·	Monique R		1773			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears n the c	v r sheet with the c	rrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failthe Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nasions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  TOFR 1.136(a). In no event cation.  ays, a reply within the statuto ory period will apply and will e, by statute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 08 November 200	<b>)4</b> .				
-	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>		secution as to the meri	ts is		
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-30 is/are value. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from cons					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		] objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.		
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  4. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  5. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  6. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  8. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do  9. Copies of the certified	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority documen I Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	€		
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/03</u> .	O/SB/08) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Dail  Notice of Informal P  Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/666,563 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-13 in the reply filed on 11/8/04 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 14-30 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/8/04 and 7/21/04.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zemel (USPN 5,891,289) in view of Williams et al (USPN 5,378,298) and in further view of Weissenfluh et al (USPN 5,951,801.) Zemel teach a method of transferring metal leaf, such as gold leaf, to a substrate in a desired pattern by transferring an adhesive layer in a desired pattern to a substrate and then adhering the metal leaf to the patterned adhesive in a conventional manner leaving the metal leaf upon the substrate in the desired pattern only (Abstract; Col. 2.) Though Zemel teach that any adhesive material suitable may be utilized, and preferably a pressure sensitive adhesive, Zemel does not teach utilizing a light-sensitive adhesive that is dried and then cured by light to produce the metal leaf laminate. However, Williams et al teach an improved method a producing a patterned laminate by applying a layer of light sensitive adhesive composition in liquid form to a substrate, soft baking the adhesive layer, selectively exposing the

Art Unit: 1773

adhesive utilizing a mask to produce a desired pattern upon developing the adhesive, and then applying a second material or substrate prior to completely curing the adhesive such that the second substrate is laminated to the first substrate in the desired pattern only (Abstract; Fig.1.) Though Williams et al teach that the laminate is produced by a final thermal cure, Williams et al do note that when a conventional ultraviolet curable adhesive is utilized to produce a patterned laminate, either the part to be bonded is very small or it is transparent in order for the adhesive to be cured under the part (Col. 1, lines 40-47), wherein Weissenfluh et al specifically teach curing of the light sensitive adhesive after a metal/plastic laminate is formed wherein the plastic layer is preferably subjected to corona discharge treatment in order to improve adhesion (Claims.) Hence, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to utilize a light sensitive adhesive as taught by Williams et al or Weissenfluh et al to produce the laminate taught by Zemel wherein Williams et al specifically teach utilizing a mask technique to produce a desired pattern and one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to utilize corona discharge treatment to improve adhesion and to determine the manner by which to expose the light sensitive adhesive and remove unexposed areas to produce the desired product.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,563

Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique R. Jackson

Primary Examiner Technology Center 1700

January 24, 2005